

| Proposal Title : | TOUKLEY, 216-224 Main Road | and 21 Rowland Terrace - ' | 'Rustrum Site' Rezoning |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| Proposal Summary : | The proposal seeks to enable development for a mixed use residential flat building and tourist apartment complex with ground floor commercial floorspace. The proposed development is anticipated to provide 124 units (48 for tourist purposes and 76 for residential purposes). | | |
| | 2013 are proposed to be amen - rezone the land from SP3 To Recreation; - alter the Height of Building N - increase the building height - increase the Floor Space Rat | ded: urist and RE1 Public Recrea lap to apply to the B4 zoned from 25m to 36m on the Key io from 1.5:1 to 1.7:1 for the | y Sites Map; |
| PP Number : | PP_2015_WYONG_001_00 | Dop File No : | 15/01286 |
| Proposal Details | | | days and the |
| Date Planning Proposal Received : | 30-Jan-2015 | LGA covered : | Wyong |
| Region : | Hunter | RPA : | Wyong Shire Council |
| State Electorate : | WYONG | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal |
| LEP Type : | Spot Rezoning | | |
| Location Details | | | |
| Street : 21 | 6-224 Main Road and 21 Rowland | Terrace | |
| Suburb : To | ukley City : | | Postcode : 2263 |
| Land Parcel : Lo | ts 2-4 DP406181, Lot 2 DP213097, | Lot 5 DP514932, Lot 1DP2 ² | 13097 and Lot 91 DP565884 |

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

| Contact Name : | Glenn Hornal |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Contact Number : | 0243485009 |
| Contact Email : | glenn.hornal@planning.nsw.gov.au |

RPA Contact Details

| Contact Name : | Peter Kavanagh | |
|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | |

Contact Number : 0243505537

Contact Email : Peter.Kavanagh@wyong.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

| | Growth Centre : | N/A | Release Area Name : | N/A |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------|
| | Regional / Sub Regional Strategy : | Central Coast Regional Strategy | Consistent with Strategy : | Yes |
| | MDP Number : | | Date of Release : | |
| | Area of Release (Ha) : | | Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : | |
| | No. of Lots : | 0 | No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : | 76 |
| | Gross Floor Area: | 0 | No of Jobs Created | 42 |
| | The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : | Yes | | |
| | Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : | No | | |
| L | Supporting notes | | | |
| | Internal Supporting Notes : | Additional information was request confirmation on the application of building line in Wyong LEP 2013. | clauses affected by the remo | val of the foreshore |
| | External Supporting Notes : | | | |
| A | dequacy Assessmen | t | | |

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Council states the objective of the planning proposal is to facilitate the concept 'lconic Development' of an identified Key Site adjacent to Toukley Town Centre. The proposal would benefit from a concise statement which identifies the purpose (i.e to enable development for a residential flat building and tourist apartment complex with ground floor commercial floorspace).

The statement of objectives also includes discussion on:

- a land swap between Council and the proponent
- the anticipated final form of the development and concept sketches
- a proposed voluntary planning agreement and foreshore access

Although these are relevant matters they would be more appropriate in Part 3 Justification section of the planning proposal.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend Wyong LEP 2013 by:

-rezoning the land from SP3 Tourist and RE1 Public Recreation to B4 Mixed Use and RE1 Public Recreation.

-Realigning the 16m maximum building height limit on the Height of Buildings Map so it applies to the proposed B4 zoned land. The proposed RE1 land will not contain a mapped maximum building height.

- increasing the height limit on the the Key Sites Map from 25m to 36m and realign it so it applies to the B4 zoned land. (The Key Sites Map permits an additional height bonus for development proposals that utilise Clause 7.11 Development requiring the preparation of a development control plan (key sites)).

- increasing the maximum floor space ratio from 1.5:1 to 1.7:1 in Area 2 on the Floor Space Ratio Map for the B4 zoned land. (Area 2 permits an additional floor space ratio bonus of up to 20% for sites of 4000m2 or more).

- remove the application of the Foreshore Building Line (FBL) and foreshore area identified on the Foreshore Building Line Map for the site.

The amendments proposed are required to enable the proposed development. The rezoning is required as residential flat buildings are not permissible in the SP3 zone. The B4 zone would also allow more flexibility given the proposal involves permanent residential, tourist accommodation and retail/commercial premises.

Generally the amendments proposed are supported. Lot 1 DP213097 (Council Park) is included in the planning proposal however no changes are proposed to the zone or development standards. Council should consider deletion of this lot from the planning proposal or provide justification for its inclusion.

FORESHORE BUILDING LINE

Council states most privately owned land adjacent to the ocean or lake foreshore is affected by the FBL apart from the adjacent Beachcomber Hotel. Council considers the removal of the FBL on the development site would be consistent with the adjoining Beachcomber Hotel, however it would also be inconsistent with the other private lands that are subject to the application of the FBL.

The removal of the FBL from the land would remove consideration of Clause 7.5 'Limited development on the foreshore' which ensures development in the foreshore area will not impact on natural foreshore processes or affect the significance and amenity of the area.

Council has advised Clause 7.6 'Development on the foreshore must ensure access' would still need to be addressed at the DA stage. This appears to be an error as clause Clause 7.6 still relates to development in the 'foreshore area'. Given the proposal seeks to remove the FBL and foreshore area from the development site there would be no requirement to consider clause 7.5 or clause 7.6 for future DAs.

Council has reconsidered the application of the clauses and clarified they would not apply to the development site.

The planning proposal should be updated to include discussion on: - those clauses affected by the removal of the FBL and how this affects future development on the site, and

- justification on why the removal of these clauses is supported given other private landowners in the vicinity would still be affected by the FBL.

It is noted Council states it will remove public access requirements from the Rustrum Site identified in its Key Sites DCP subject to the Voluntary Planning Agreement with the proponent (which provides for 1.5 x the applicable Section 94 Contributions and upgrades to Toukley Gardens and provision of a pathway to the foreshore at Osborne Park). Council should clarify if this provision of a significant public benefit is linked to the removal of the FBL.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.2 Coastal Protection
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required? Unknown

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

| d) Which SEPPs have the | RPA identified? SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection |
|--|---|
| e) List any other matters that need to be considered : | SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land Council has advised an initial contamination assessment was conducted and found no evidence of fill or potential contamination. Council should satisfy itself the land is suitable for the land use proposed under the requirements of the SEPP and information regarding land contamination is to be included in public exhibition. |
| | SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection The land is located on land within the coastal zone and is also identified as a sensitive coastal location. Council should update the planning proposal to include consideration of all clause 8 matters before public exhibition. |
| Have inconsistencies with | items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No |
| If No, explain : | S117 DIRECTIONS |

The planning proposal is consistent with all S117 Directions or they are not applicable except where discussed below:

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

The land subject to the planning proposal is underlain by coal resources. Council should consult with Trade & Investment - Resources and Energy to ensure the potential development of coal resources are not restricted by land uses that are likely to be incompatible with such development before consistency with the direction can be determined.

2.2 Coastal Protection

The proposal is located on land within the coastal zone and is also identified as a sensitive coastal location in SEPP 71. The site is also subject to provisions in Wyong LEP 2013 (Cl.7.5 and 7.6) which will no longer apply when the FBL is removed and will remove public access considerations to the foreshore. The Direction requires consistency with the NSW Coastal Policy and Coastal Design Guidelines both of which seek to promote public access to the foreshore and the planning proposal is therefore inconsistent with the Direction. Council is required to address the inconsistency and demonstrate why the inconsistency is of minor significance by seeking the Secretary's agreement under the terms of the direction.

3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates

The rezoning from SP3 to B4 will prohibit caravan parks on the land and is inconsistent with the Direction as the proposal does not retain provisions to permit development for caravan parks. Council has not justified the inconsistency however the inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the site is currently vacant and caravan parks are permissible in a number of other zones in Wyong LEP 2013 (i.e. R1, R3, SP3, RE1 and RE2).

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The site is located on land with a probability of containing acid sulfate soils and is identified in Council's Acid Sulfate Soils Map (Class 5 and adjacent to Class 1). Council considers these are matters that will be addressed at the DA stage. The inconsistency needs to be justified by a study or is of minor significance. The Acid Sulfate Assessment Preliminary Report provided is from 2008 and relates to a development for Seniors Living and is based on the tonnage of excavated material. Wyong LEP 2013 contains provisions to regulate development on land containing acid sulfate soils and can be addressed at the development application stage. The Secretary could agree the inconsistency is of minor significance.

4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land

The land is identified in the Swansea North Entrance Mine Subsidence District therefore consultation with the Mine Subsidence Board will need to occur before consistency with the direction can be determined.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

Council has advised that part of the land is classified as Flood Prone and considers the proposal is consistent with the direction. However the proposal seeks to rezone land from a Special Purpose zone to a Business Zone and is inconsistent with the direction. Council has not demonstrated whether the proposal is in accordance with a floodplain risk management plan or of minor significance and will require the agreement of the Secretary for the inconsistency prior to the plan being made.

6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Council seeks to swap land with the proponent and zone the land RE1 Public Recreation and would alter the land for public purposes. The alteration would result in community benefits including improved pedestrian and cycle access to the foreshore of Budgewoi Lake adjacent to the development site. The Secretary should agree to alteration of land for public purposes.

TOUKLEY, 216-224 Main Road and 21 Rowland Terrace - 'Rustrum Site' Rezoning 6.3 Site Specific Provisions The proposal seeks to amend an existing height specific provision development standard on the Key Sites Map to enable the development proposal and is therefore inconsistent with the direction. The inconsistency is a minor change to an existing site specific provision. The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the Key Sites clause (clause 7.11) was included in the approval of Wyong LEP 2013 by the former Director General and is a minor change to an existing site specific provision. The proposal also contains sketch drawings of the potential development on the site and is inconsistent with the terms of the Direction. The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance given these are concept sketches and will aid community understanding of the potential future development on this Key site. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council has provided proposed Zoning, Foreshore Building Line, Floor Space Ratio, Height Of Buildings and Key Sites Maps. No maps have been provided showing the existing controls and Council should ensure this occurs prior to public exhibition. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Council has proposed a 28 day exhibition period. This is supported. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes If Yes, reasons : Delegations Council has advised it has not resolved its position in regard to seeking delegations. Given the planning proposal is of local significance it is recommended Council be granted delegations to make the plan. Timeline Council anticipates the LEP will be made in October 2015 (approximately 10 months). Council has stated it plans to exhibit a VPA and draft site specific development control plan in addition to the planning proposal. A 12 month timeframe is recommended. Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No. comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in The planning proposal seeks to amend Wyong LEP 2013. relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning The land is identified in the Toukley Planning Strategy for residential and tourist purposes proposal : and is identified as an Iconic Site in the Toukley Masterplan. Both documents identified options to link the Council owned Toukley Gardens, located adjacent to the site, with Rowland Terrace and the foreshore of Budgewoi Lake. Council has advised it proposes to engage in a land swap as well as public domain improvements agreed with the proponent

| | through a Voluntary Planning Agreement which will result in better pedestrian and cycle access from Toukley Town Centre to the public reserves on Budgewoi Lake. The proposal is generally consistent with Council's Toukley Planning Strategy and the Toukley Masterplan. |
|---|--|
| Consistency with strategic planning | Council has identified the following strategic plans: |
| framework : | CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS) Council has reviewed a number of actions in the CCRS and considers the proposal has |
| | addressed actions related to: - providing a mix of housing types adjacent to centres; |
| | - jobs close to home; - employment self containment; |
| | - improve provision of open space; - manage the impact of tourist facilities on natural resources. |
| | Generally the proposal is consistent with the broad goals and actions of the CCRS and will assist in meeting regional dwelling and employment targets. |
| | COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN (CSP) |
| | Council has reviewed the planning proposal against eight priority objectives in in its CSP and is generally consistent with the relevant objectives. |
| Environmental social economic impacts : | ENVIRONMENTAL Council has advised there are no threatened species, EECs or their habitats that will be adversely affected by the proposal. The site is located in a sensitive coastal location and Council seeks to remove the application of Clauses 7.5 and 7.6 (additional considerations related to coastal development) in Wyong LEP 2013. Council has identified it seeks to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage and this is supported. |
| | SOCIAL Council anticipate the public domain improvements associated with the development will positively contribute to the Toukley community. |
| | ECONOMIC The proposal, should it be developed, would provide 76 residential units and 48 units for tourist accommodation. A Preliminary Economic and Employment Assessment prepared in support of the planning proposal indicated 42 full time jobs would be created. Overall the proposal is expected to create positive economic benefits. |
| | INFRASTRUCTURE Council has advised the site is located on Main Road and is well serviced by a privately operated bus service and footpath connection to the Toukley Town Centre. Given the potential increase in traffic as a result of the development consultation should occur with the Roads and Maritime Service. Council has identified the land is serviced with water, sewerage and drainage infrastructure and electricity. |
| | PUBLIC AUTHORITY CONSULTATION Council identified consultation with NSW Planning and Environment however clarified this was included in error in the planning proposal. |

Assessment Process

| Proposal type : | Routine | | Community Consultation Period : | 28 Days | |
|---|--|--------------|------------------------------------|---------|--|
| Timeframe to make LEP : | 12 months | | Delegation : | RPA | |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : | Office of Environme Department of Trade Transport for NSW - Other | e and Inves | stment | | |
| Is Public Hearing by the | e PAC required? | No | | | |
| (2)(a) Should the matte | r proceed ? | Yes | | | |
| If no, provide reasons : | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Resubmission - s56(2) | (b) : No | | | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | | | | |
| Identify any additional | studies, if required.: | | | | |
| If Other, provide reason | ns : | | | | |
| Identify any internal co | nsultations, if required : | | | | |
| No internal consultation | on required | | | | |
| Is the provision and fun | nding of state infrastructu | ure relevant | t to this plan? No | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | | | | |

Documents

| Document File Name | DocumentType Name | Is Public |
|---|-------------------|-----------|
| Planning Proposal - Rustrum Site.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| S117 Directions.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Project Timeline.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Proposed Land Zoning Map.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Proposed Floor Space Ratio Map.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Proposed Height of Buildings Map.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Proposed Key Sites Map.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Proposed Foreshore Building Line Map.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Council Report.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2015 01 30 Additional Information Email.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2015 01 30 Attachment 2 Council Report 25 June 2014.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2015 01 30 Attachment 3 Mean High Watermark Survey.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2015 01 30 Attachment 4 Analysis of Concept Against LEP Provisions.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2015 01 30 Attachment 5 Acid Sulphate Soils Management Plan.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2015 01 30 Attachment 6 Initial Contamination Assessment.pdf | Proposal | Yes |

| | Shadow Diagrams for Building | Proposal | Yes | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Envelope.pdf | Economia Eccelbility | Dropocol | Yes | | |
| 2015 01 30 Attachment (Assessment.pdf | Economic Feasibility | Proposal | Tes | | |
| | and Economic Impact | Proposal | Yes | | |
| 2015 02 06 Employment and Economic Impact Proposal Yes Assessment.pdf | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ning Team Recomm | nendation | | | | |
| Preparation of the planning | ng proposal supported at this stage | : Recommended with Conditions | | | |
| S.117 directions: | 1.1 Business and Industrial Zor | nes | | | |
| | 2.1 Environment Protection Zor | nes | | | |
| | 2.2 Coastal Protection | | | | |
| | 2.3 Heritage Conservation | | | | |
| | 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas | | | | |
| | 3.1 Residential Zones | | | | |
| | 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufac | ctured Home Estates | | | |
| | 3.3 Home Occupations | | | | |
| | 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Ti | ransport | | | |
| | 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils | | | | |
| | 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unsta | able Land | | | |
| | 4.3 Flood Prone Land | | | | |
| | 5.1 Implementation of Regional | Strategies | | | |
| | 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | | | | |
| | 6.2 Reserving Land for Public F | Purposes | | | |
| Additional Information : | The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions: | | | | |
| | 1. Council update the planning proposal prior to community consultation to: | | | | |
| | a) include a concise statement which identifies the purpose of the Planning Proposal in the 'Objectives or Intended Outcomes'. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | ne land swap, anticipated final for | | | |
| | | eement/foreshore access from the Section of the Planning Proposal | | | |
| | c) consider deleting Lot 1 DP21 | 3097 (Council Park) from the plan | ning proposal or provide | | |
| | justification for its inclusion. | | ning proposal of provide | | |
| | d) include discussion and justi | fication for the removal of the For | eshore Building Line and | | |
| | foreshore area including the ap 2013. | plication and effect on Clauses 7. | 5 and 7.6 of Wyong LEP | | |
| | e) include existing zoning and development standards maps. | | | | |
| | 2. The Secretary agrees the pla | nning proposal's inconsistencies | with S117 Directions 3.2 | | |
| | | ed Home Estates and 4.1 Acid Sul | | | |
| | 3. In relation to S117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes the Secretary | | | | |
| | agrees to the alteration of land | for public purposes. | | | |
| | | nning proposal to include sufficier tency or justify any inconsistency | | | |
| | Directions: | | - | | |
| | 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Prodution 2.2 Coastal Protection | uction and Extractive Industries | | | |
| | | | | | |

5. Council is to satisfy the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 55 - Remediation of Land and demonstrate the site is suitable for rezoning.

6. Council should include consideration of clause 8 State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP 71) - Coastal Protection and update the planning proposal accordingly before community consultation.

7. The planning proposal be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days.

- 8. Consultation with:
 - Office of Environment and Heritage
 - NSW Trade and Investment Resources and Energy
 - Transport for NSW Roads and Maritime Services
 - Mine Subsidence Board

9. 12 months timeframe to make the plan.

10. Plan-making delegation should be delegated to Council for this planning proposal.

Date:

9.2.2015

Supporting Reasons :

*

Signature:

Printed Name: